

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/676,827	10/02/2003	Kenneth Hicks	58523-010100UT/US	3055
75	90 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1750 Tysons Boulevard, 12th Floor			GARTENBERG, EHUD	
McLean, VA	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3746	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00	10/676,827	HICKS, KENNETH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 February 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8 and 10-30</u> is/s 5)□ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from consideratio	on.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Extended 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the company of the oath or declaration is objected to by the company of the oath or declaration is objected to by the company of the	is/are: a) accepted or b) october of the drawing (s) be held in abeyant correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/676,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 8 and 10-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/22/2005. Claims 1-7 and 9 are examined.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because it is believed that in Fig. 4, the proper description of element 420 should be -- adjustable exhaust (or outlet) cone --. Also, it is believed that the description of element 420 is missing from the written description. Applicant is required to thoroughly check the description to ensure that all labeled element are taught in the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after

Application/Control Number: 10/676,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 3746

the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oklejas 3,899,875, that teaches the invention as claimed: a (Tesla) boundary-layer turbine comprising an housing and an inlet port (shown but unnumbered), an outlet port 43, a shaft 12, a plurality of discs 15, internal dovetail connections for the discs 44, and unnumbered channel in-between the discs. Note that the discs are in physical contact with each other at the hub, on the housing, and also see in-between contact spacers (unnumbered) having a central channel. Note that absolute contact between the discs would render them non-operational, by mutually denying wetted area to each other. In Tesla turbines, some spacing between the discs is inherently necessary in order to provide operability. Claim 3 was given little patentable weight, because in apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/676,827 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

claims, the method of making is non-limiting in so far as the prior art anticipates a claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oklejas as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of De Feo 3224194, Balje 4449889, and Bosen 2004/0216466 taken together. Oklejas teaches the invention as claimed, however without the exhaust cone and its controllable shape. Balje, Bosen, and De Foe teach that the controlled-shaped cones at both inlets and outlets of fluid-dynamic machines were conventionally used in the art in order to streamline the flow, prevent flow separation, and therefore increase the efficiency of the apparatus.

 Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use e.g., Offeo's exhaust cone in Okleja's apparatus in order to prevent separation of the exhaust flow and therefore improve the efficiency of the Okleja turbine. To the extent that the present disclosure enables the controllable shape of the cone, Okleja's cone is identically controllable at manufacturing.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oklejas as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Conrad 6568900. Oklejas teaches the

invention as claimed, however without the coated ceramic material. Conrad teaches to add a catalytic coating to the discs (col. 10, II. 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add Conrad's ceramic coatings to Oklejas's apparatus in order to enhance the reaction of the constituents of the fluid stream (col. 10, II. 15-24). The examiner takes Official Notice that at the time of the claimed invention, catalytic coatings were routinely applied to ceramic surfaces on high-temperature reaction zones.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Palumbo 6503067.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ehud Gartenberg whose telephone number is 571 272 4828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571 272 4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,827

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ehud Gartenberg Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 3746

03072005